### APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE Revised 4/99

IMPORTANT: Please consult the "Instructions for Completing the Project Application of the Project Appl completion of this form. CB05J SUBDIVISION: Columbia Township CODE#\_061-16882 DISTRICT NUMBER: 2 COUNTY: Hamilton DATE 09 / 07 / 05 CONTACT: Jennifer Vatter PHONE # (513) 721 - 5500 (THE PROJECT CONTACT PERSON SHOULD BE THE INDIVIDUAL WHO WILL BE AVAILABLE ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASISDURING THE APPLICATION REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS AND WHO CAN BEST ANSWER OR COORDINATE THE RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS) FAX (513) 721-0607 \_ E-MAIL\_ivatter@imaconsult.com PROJECT NAME: Ridgewood Subdivision Streets Phase III (Losantiridge Avenue) SUBDIVISION TYPE FUNDING TYPE REQUESTED PROJECT TYPE (Check Only 1) (Check All Requested & Enter Amount) (Check Largest Component) \_\_1. County \_X 1. Grant \$425,000.00 \_X\_1. Road \_\_2. City \_2. Loan S\_\_\_ \_\_2. Loan S\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_3. Loan Assistance S \_\_2. Bridge/Culvert X\_3. Township \_\_3. Water Supply \_\_4. Village 4. Wastewater \_\_\_5. Water/Sanitary District 5. Solid Waste (Section 6119 O.R.C.) 6. Stormwater TOTAL PROJECT COST:5 850,000,00 FUNDING REQUESTED:S425,000.00 DISTRICT RECOMMENDATION To be completed by the District Committee ONLY LOAN ASSISTANCE:S SCIP LOAN: S RATE:\_\_\_\_\_% TERM: \_\_\_\_\_\_yrs. RLP LOAN: S RATE:\_\_\_\_\_\_% TERM: \_\_\_\_\_\_yrs. (Check Only 1) State Capital Improvement Program \_Small Government Program Local Transportation Improvements Program FOR OPWC USE ONLY PROJECT NUMBER: C APPROVED FUNDING: S\_\_\_\_ Local Participation Loan Interest Rate:

Loan Term:

SCIP Loan \_\_\_\_\_ RLP Loan

Date Approved: \_\_\_/\_\_/

Maturity Date:

OPWC Participation

OPWC Approval:

Project Release Date: / /

1.0	PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION		FORCE ACCOUNT
1.1	PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS: (Round to Nearest Dollar)	TOTAL DOLLARS	FORCE ACCOUNT DOLLARS
a.)	Basic Engineering Services:	S00	
	Final Design S Bidding S	00 00 00 00	
	Additional Engineering Services *Identify services and costs below.	\$00	
b.)	Acquisition Expenses: Land and/or Right-of-Way	\$ <u>.00</u>	
<b>c.</b> )	Construction Costs:	\$_850,000 .00	
d.)	Equipment Purchased Directly:	\$00	
e.)	Permits, Advertising, Legal: (Or Interest Costs for Loan Assistance Applications Only)	\$	
f.)	Construction Contingencies:	\$00	
g.)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS:	\$ <u>859,000</u> .00	
*List . Service	Additional Engineering Services here:		

	(Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)		
		DOLLARS	%
a.)	Local In-Kind Contributions	S00	
b.)	Local Revenues	\$_425,00000	<u>50</u>
c.)	Other Public Revenues ODOT Rural Development OEPA OWDA CDBG OTHER	S	
	SUBTOTAL LOCAL RESOURCES:	\$_425,00000	_50
d.)	OPWC Funds 1. Grant 2. Loan 3. Loan Assistance	\$_425,00000 \$00 \$00	50
	SUBTOTAL OPWC RESOURCES:	S <u>425,000</u> .00	_50
e.)	TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES:	S <u>850,000</u> 00	100%
1.3	AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS		
	Attach a statement signed by the Chieshare funds required for the project we Project Schedule section.	f Financial Officer listed in se ill be available on or before th	ction 5.2 certifying <u>all local</u> te earliest date listed in the
	ODOT PID# Sale STATUS: (Check one)	ey (LPA)	

1.2

PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES:

2.0		IECT INFORMATION ect is multi-jurisdictional, information must be <u>consolidated</u> in this section.
2.1	PRO	JECT NAME: Ridgewood Subdivision Streets Phase III (Losantiridge Avenue)
2.2	A: This p Losar	SPECIFIC LOCATION:  oroject is located in Columbia Township. Project limits are the entire length of attridge Avenue (Ridge Avenue to Ridgewood Avenue/Cedarbrook). Please see need location map.
	В:	PROJECT COMPONENTS:  1.) Remove the existing pavement (full depth) 2.) Remove unsuitable subgrade material 3.) Install vertical concrete curbs, type 6 4.) Replace failed storm sewer drainage system 5.) Reconstruct with asphaltic concrete
	C:	PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS / CHARACTERISTICS: Losantiridge Avenue – 2350'LF x 22'W
	D:	DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY: Detail current service capacity vs. proposed service level.
	Road	or Bridge: Current ADT <u>1000</u> Year: <u>2002</u> Projected ADT: Year:

Road or Bridge: Current ADT 1000 Year: 2002 Projected ADT: Year

Water/Wastewater: Based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallons per household, attach current rate ordinance. Current Residential Rate: \$\_\_\_\_\_ Proposed Rate: \$

Stormwater: Number of households served:

2.3 USEFUL LIFE / COST ESTIMATE: Project Useful Life: \_\_30\_Yrs.

Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with original seal and signature confirming the project's useful life indicated above and estimated cost.

#### 3.0 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION:

TOTA	AL PORTION OF PROJECT REPAIR/RE	PLACEMENT	\$_850,000 .00
TOTA	AL PORTION OF PROJECT NEW/EXPAI	NSION	SS
PRO	DJECT SCHEDULE: *		
		BEGIN DATE	END DATE
4.1	Engineering/Design:	08 /27 /03	06/01/06
4.2	Bid Advertisement and Award:	<u>06/01/06</u>	07/01/06
4.3	Construction:	_07/02_/06_	12/31/07
4.4	Right-of-Way/Land Acquisition:	<u>NA / /</u>	NA/ /
	PRO 4.1 4.2 4.3	PROJECT SCHEDULE: *  4.1 Engineering/Design: 4.2 Bid Advertisement and Award: 4.3 Construction:	4.1 Engineering/Design: 4.2 Bid Advertisement and Award: 4.3 Construction:  BEGIN DATE  08/27/03  06/01/06  07/02/06

<sup>\*</sup> Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by the CEO of record and approved by the commission once the Project Agreement has been executed. The project schedule should be planned around receiving a Project Agreement on or about July 1st.

#### 5.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION:

#### 5.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE

OFFICER Michael Lemon TITLE Administrator STREET

5686 Kenwood Road CITY/ZIP Cincinnati, Ohio 45227

PHONE 513-561-6046 FAX 513-561-6981

E-MAIL

#### 5.2 CHIEF FINANCIAL

OFFICER George Leet

TITLE Clerk

STREET 5686 Kenwood Road CITY/ZIP Cincinnati, Ohio 45227

PHONE 513-561-6046 FAX 513-561-6981

E-MAIL

5.3 PROJECT MANAGER Michael Lemon 5.4 TITLE Administrator

STREET 5686 Kenwood Road

CITY/ZIP Cincinnati, Ohio 45227

PHONE 513-561-6046 FAX 513-561-6981

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from the CEO.

#### 6.0 ATTACHMENTS/COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

Confirm in the blocks [ ] below that each item listed is attached.

- [X] A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.
- [X] A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.
- [X] A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's original seal or stamp and signature.
- [NA] A cooperation agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.
- [NA] Projects which include new and expansion components and potentially affect productive farmland should include a statement evaluating the potential impact. If there is a potential impact, the Governor's Executive Order 98-VII and the OPWC Farmland Preservation Review Advisory apply.
- [ ] Capital Improvements Report: (Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form)
- [X] Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your *local* District Public Works Integrating Committee.

#### 7.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION:

The undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement on this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding of the project.

C. Michael Lemon, Administrator
Certifying Representative (Type or Print Name and Title)

Signature/Date Signed

# Ridgewood Subdivision Streets Phase III (Losantiridge Avenue) <u>Engineer's Estimate</u>

DESCRIPTION	UNIT	EST. QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL AMOUNT
Clearing	LS	1	15,000.00	15,000.00
Excavation/Pavement Removed	CY	2,500	20.00	50,000.00
Driveway Apron (remove & replace)	SY	900	55.00	49,500.00
Curb Removed	LF	4,500	5.00	22,500.00
Catch Basin/Manhole Removed	EA	8	300.00	2,400.00
Concrete Walk (remove & replace)	SF	10,200	6.00	61,200.00
Pipe Removed	LF	800	10	8,000.00
Excavation, incl. Embankment				
(undercut)	CY	800	40.00	32,000.00
Aggregate Base	CY	1,000	50.00	50,000.00
Bituminous Aggregate Base	CY	500	120.00	60,000.00
Asphalt Concrete (surface)	CY	250	120.00	30,000.00
4"-8" Conduit	LF	500	20.00	10,000.00
12"-15" Conduit	LF	1,000	100.00	100,000.00
18"-24" Conduit	LF	500	150.00	75,000.00
6" Underdrain	LF	800	20.00	16,000.00
Catch Basin	EA	14	2,500.00	35,000.00
Manhole	EA	8	2,500.00	20,000.00
Concrete Curb	LF	4,500	12.00	54,000.00
Maintain Traffic	LS	1	20,000.00	20,000.00
Construction Layout Stakes	LS	1	20,000.00	20,000.00
Seed & Mulch Restoration	SY	2,500.00	2.00	5,000.00
Utility Conflicts (sanitary & water)	LS	1	40,000.00	40,000.00
Contingencies	LS	1	74,400.00	74,400.00
		Total Est. Cost		\$850,000.00

I hereby certify this to be an accurate estimate of the proposed project. The useful life of this project is 30 years.

GOEDDE S2291

GOEDDE S2291

GOEDDE S2291

GOEDDE S1291

GO





5686 Kenwood Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45227 513/561-6046 Fax 513/561-6981 www.columbiatownship.org

#### STATUS OF FUNDS CERTIFICATION

Columbia Township will utilize approximately \$425,000 from its Gasoline, Road & Bridge, Motor Vehicle Tax, Permissive Motor Vehicle Tax, Road and General Funds as its participation for the Losantiridge Avenue Reconstruction project.

George/M. Leet

Columbia Township Clerk

August 15, 2005

## RESOLUTION NO. <u>05-13</u>, 2005 COLUMBIA TOWNSHIP, HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

# AUTHORIZING THE ADMINISTRATOR TO MAKE APPLICATION FOR FISCAL 2006 STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FUNDS AND IF FUNDS ARE AWARDED TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS ON BEHALF OF COLUMBIA TOWNSHIP

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees has determined that it would be in the best interest of Columbia Township and to promote the general welfare of the Township to apply for 2006 State Capital Improvement Program Funds and if funds are awarded to execute a grant agreement on behalf of the Township;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Trustees of Columbia Township, Hamilton County, Ohio, for the benefit and welfare of Columbia Township and its citizens:

Section 1. That the Township Administrator is hereby authorized to make application for State Capital Improvement Program (SCIP) funds for fiscal year 2006.

Section 2. That if funds are awarded, the Township Administrator is hereby authorized to execute a grant agreement on behalf of the Township.

Motion to accept Resolution	made by: Mr.	Mrs.	<del></del>			
Seconded by: Mr./Mrs.						
VOTE: TRUSTEE	Voting	Signature	Date /			
Susan Hughes, President	AN	Swanledo	5/0/05			
Jane Pirman, Vice-President			·			
Stephen Langenkamp, Trustee 18th						
ATTEST: George M. L.	eet, Clerk		<u>5-10</u> 05			
APPROVED as to form:						
	Columbia To	wnship Legal Counsel				

PEARL OF	The Pay ( 3/24)	Se Long B	MAGIC CHEST	LEELANAU SAVE		CT MARIZ	C	
DUNG VENSON	School ST Reading	Who see a see	10 XX	DP AVE OF SANB		MADI - CALLEVENS	OX AUN DR	N. T.
ATT STILL POPPER ST	WILL ON BE STOR	Danie Danie		E.S. DR CT S	Z PO	CT SI SELDO	AEAG	THAMORE DR
SON POELMS	VORHER ROLL TO NAVE	B. Acadomy &	Heading E		KET 1	PONALD WI	LYNDOW	HVIEW
S AVENUE 3	SOL THE TO	AVE MI. Notre Da	tery 🔭 🚾	ALWING THE STATE OF THE STATE O	(126)	GLENGARY	AVE	MERICK AVE
S 20 A BOUTHEAN	1. WACHENDORF	MAPLE CT	KENRAN DH	ANNIA STATE		Į D	ELFAST	調整
GEEFIT	S. HARMES AVE	MAPLECT	AN OF	602	7 5	5/	T ELDORA AVE	THEBOR
GRANITE STILL STREET	ANNA ST			COOL TOO	Woord OAKSPE	是是	CT ELD	
C. L. WIOS	ST: File Miles	AP.	PLERIDGE PF	/L. C/E/		ADDIOD GAMEN	TON CT TLOW AVE	18/25
NO TOUR	KNOLL CREST	DA	LN	ONG PIMEADOW L	-	E GIA	MICT AND A	
MAPONE	3	CHEST ON THE PROPERTY OF THE P	문	N. WHITETHEE		ENTO AVE S GUAM	(	
	A PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	CP 3 PH		S. WHITETREE CI	E GALBRAITH	JEFFF WWE HAVEN	EL CI E	LONGFORD C
VUCFH CO. T	WEU HOUSE OF SHIP	O NAME OF THE OWN			J. D.	E CT LANG	IOHST   >	Deer Park DR H.S.
hoai	The state of the s	Cresi Hills		<u> </u>			CT United to	
The second secon	THE STATE OF THE S	Country Club	FAR	NAVEN LAVEN	- OF ESTA	것 되는 김 황교	NTENAC DEL	
Wen /	1000		2	S NI SUBLES	- 8	MATSONIA MAT	AVE ANE ANE ANE ANE ANE ANE ANE ANE ANE AN	THE PARTY OF THE P
OOK DR	TWIGWOOD LN	BURNING W	THEE	MO7	(5)	MATSONS!	NIGHOLASE E	HOFF
include Conterence		25g Y まな		E E	FICHE 3		JOHNSH I	MOSS W
istrial division	l Bloom	BELLAN				SAG. SAG.	到 O'LEARY	6 9
LAUREL	OAKRIDGE DE S	BENNY W			/∰ Cr.	EAFI S	LANSDOWNE ST	E
The second secon	HEADOW DA BROOK DA BEADOW ARACOMA		French	AMBER	_EY  -	GARDNE GARDNE HORNTO WINDINGWAY E WINDING WAY 5		THE STATE OF
HOYAL OAKLN	MEADO!	AHACOM DH COM	- Park	VILLAG	E   David un	WINDINGWAY E. WINDING	MENKIEY OHO OHO OHO OHO OHO OHO OHO OHO OHO OH	WHIGHNIAA MARTILAND F. F. F. P. P.
I / I V			rberiy liage tali	0	\$7.01.	WAY S.	CIA DEER F	ARK Ave 2005
	SECTION S	DENA LN	7.50	ļ		RGER GERDSEN		VATION NOF
GBASS IN IN I	OF.		RO	The state of the s	*   /8°	, × S. E	HOADLAWIT LN	GATE WOODE
BLUEGE BLUEGE BLUEGE FAME AND	EALIDAMS.  EALIDAMS.  SWALL AGE  EALIDAMS.		UD	2	NE.		7.	VERTO
F FABINA H	SILN E	SE 23	THE PARMERE		STVIEW AVE	(100 mg/s)		CA RD 35
VERA		EACHES OF S	PAGE DH	WE TO A PACEA		A A TON AND THE STATE OF THE ST	SEBERAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	Z ALAINE BY BY VERY AVER AVER AVER AVER AVER AVER AVER AVER
THE SAVE		LOSANTIRIDGE:	TRNS ON DR	Z 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		War of Christian I	GR. ELA	ANDAVE
	MORE VAVE DRIDGEWO	HACKE HACKE A CT A CT A CT	200	R Z Reights	ENTRA	AVE. AVE.	ALTAAVE ELA O OAK CRESTOAK	Tave 2
	AVE S AVE SIDGEW	ON HAVE WELL	3 30011	PARRY LN VIG	IRIS IS IS IS IN INCIDENT	HAMP	, o restavel of	MAN F
PICETER WAY CANTI	ERBURY AVE		BEREDITHPL 20 2 1	MAN PARRY LN PL GRAND VIETA ORCHAND OF STATE OF	MAI BURNAN	HAMP Silfunton HY NN PL STUP CEN	NODIN S AVE	VE
			BEREDITHPU BEREDITHPU BARVET BOYAVE CHUBERT PLANSTER	A COMME	别 到 ZIN	ZA E_ AVE BE LEED	COLLECTIANT	L
	J 副間可能 GOLF語		CHUBER _ ES	The state of the s	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	SOLAR ELL SOLAR VISTAPLO IONA X	STANDISH A	VE DETT
MOALE STORY  MOALE STORY  MOSEMITE  MOSEMATE	MANOR co	Intiville	AVE PE	ARROW AVED	asante Idou	שואוסם בייים וואוספ	AVE NEPOPON	
TAMEDON OGGENITORING		unity Pleasani GWC	STORION	AVE SIL	officer Tracker	Wouddord & G		
indinuari RIDGE(	BRIANCLIFFE AVE TO THE CLIFFE AVE TO THE CLIFF AVE TO THE CLIFFE AVE TO THE CLIFF A	8	VIEW		D ( Heights	WOODFORD AD	MAN AVERYTAN AN FORDINA OF THE PARKET OF THE	EDARWOOD PLO
Y P	BRIANGLIFFE AVE TO THE STREET OF THE STREET	DUGIAS HE	VIEW PANOCHOR	PR PL CI	LY O Park	WOODFORD AD	M W	EAINFIELD OF THE PROPERTY OF T
Funvioli Fall	BRIARCLIFFE AVEIL TO THE COLUMN TO THE COLUM	ZISTER BELLE	WOOD Hally A S	S.WOODLATERGE	WENTER OF	AKENSIDE E	∃ _	긢
ANOTAVE TO THE TABLET	TSFORD ST		AVE AVE	AVE Woodford ORS	会議 高	AIKENSIDE POINTEH CICKENSIDE AVE LILE LILE LILE LILE LILE LILE LILE LI	Dre Pa	rit
TANE AND THE TRANSPORT	100 E	ARIEN ANTEN	AVE I	AND	ENED TON	CONGREVE AVE		
ANCIAVE III I. ABBOTO DIDA AVE III III III III III III III III III I	CLIFFE AVE OF THE AVE	AN SO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	AF AVE COMB	(4) 1		1717	D. W. Co.	$\mathcal{G} = \int_{\mathcal{F}}$
El. Peigr Seminary	E SE Para MEANTICE AV	CARPATHA S		T. T.		ANDDOGNOOD IN	EMPLO	
	STEEL		R	<u>~ ×</u>	T	· I≥ 🛊 🗷 i i i ii	Designation of A	12 Tr

### ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For Program Year 2006 (July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007), jurisdictions shall provide the following support information to help determine which projects will be funded. Information on this form must be accurate, and where called for, based on sound engineering principles. Documentation to substantiate the individual items, as noted, is required. The applicant should also use the rating system and its' addendum as a guide. The examples listed in this addendum are not a complete list, but only a small sampling of situations that may be relevant to a given project.

IF YOU ARE APPLYING FOR A GRANT, WILL YOU BE WILLING TO ACCEPT A LOAN IF ASKED BY THE DISTRICT? \_\_X\_YES \_\_\_\_\_NO (ANSWER REQUIRED)

Note: Answering "Yes" will not increase your score and answering "NO" will not decrease your score.

# 1) What is the physical condition of the existing infrastructure that is to be replaced or repaired?

Give a statement of the nature of the deficient conditions of the present facility exclusive of capacity, serviceability, health and/or safety issues. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded. Use documentation (if possible) to support your statement. Documentation may include (but is not limited to): ODOT BR86 reports, pavement management condition reports, televised underground system reports, age inventory reports, maintenance records, etc., and will only be considered if included in the original application. Examples of deficiencies include: structural condition; substandard design elements such as widths, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, etc.

The existing pavement is 40 years old and the surface is 20 years old. Base failures, deteriorated curb are numerous throughout the entire length of this project. The condition of the existing pavement is such that the entire pavement needs to be reconstructed. Failed condition is evidenced by the geotechnical investigation as stated in the report (p. 8, 9). Proper reconditioning of the existing streets should include the removal of the existing pavements, reconditioning of the soil subgrades and the placement of the new pavement section which should include a drained granular base and curbs

# 2) How important is the project to the safety of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?

Give a statement of the projects effect on the safety of the service area. The design of the project is intended to reduce existing accident rate, promote safer conditions, and reduce the danger of risk, liability or injury. (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, and highway capacity.) Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

Throughout the project limits there are areas which have ponding water, causing icing conditions in winter months. This creates a potential for accidents increasing liability and injury for the traveling public. An improved drainage system will alleviate this condition throughout the project. Also, an improved, smooth driving surface will promote safer driving conditions. Currently the surface is rough with potholes in some areas, causing an increase for accidents and liability.

area?							
Give a statement of the projects effect on the health of the service area. The design of the project will improve the overall condition of the facility so as to reduce or eliminate potential for disease, or correct concerns regarding the environmental health of the area. (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project by improving or adding storm drainage or sanitary facilities, replacing lead jointed water lines, etc.). Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.  The existing storm drainage system has failed, and a new improved system will be installed. New curbs							
will be constructed together with new/additional catch basins connected to the pipe system to con-	trol						
drainage							
4) Does the project help meet the infrastructure repair and replacement needs of the applying jurisdiction?							
The jurisdiction must submit a listing in priority order of the projects for which it is applying. Points will be awarded the basis of most to least importance.	i on						
Priority 1Ridgewood Subdivision Streets Improvements Phase III (Losantiridge Ave.)							
Priority 2							
Priority 3							
Priority 4							
Priority 5							
5) To what extent will the user fee funded agency be participating in the funding of the project?							
(example: rates for water or sewer, frontage assessments, etc.)							
No participation – Zero (0)%							
6) Economic Growth – How will the completed project enhance economic growth							
Give a statement of the projects effect on the economic growth of the service area (be specific).  No significant impact on economic growth							

## 7) Matching Funds - LOCAL

The information regarding local matching funds is to be filed by the applicant in Section 1.2 (b) of the Ohio Public Works Association's "Application For Financial Assistance" form.

o) watching runus - <u>OTHER</u>					
The information regarding local matching funds is to be f Association's "Application For Financial Assistance" for application must have been filed by August 10 th of this y List below all "other" funding the source(s).	n. If MRF funds are ear for this project wi	e being use ith the Har	ed for ma nilton Co	ntching fun	ds, the MRI
Local funds are used as the	e match for this p	roject			
9) Will the project alleviate serious traffic problems the district?	or hazards or respo	nd to the	future le	vel of serv	vice needs of
Describe how the proposed project will alleviat	e serious traffic p	roblems	or haz	ards (be	specific).
No increase in LOS					
		<del></del>	<u> </u>		
For roadway betterment projects, provide the e facility using the methodology outlined within Streets" and the 1985 Highway Capacity Manual.					
Existing LOS Proposed	LOS				
If the proposed design year LOS is not "C" or better, ex	plain why LOS "C"	cannot be	achieved	<b>i</b> .	
		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del></del>
10) If SCIP/LTIP funds were granted, when would the	construction contrac	et be awar	ded?		
If SCIP/LTIP funds are awarded, how soon a				mont from	- ODUC
(tentatively set for July 1 of the year following the contract? The Support Staff will review status re of a jurisdiction's anticipated project schedule.	deadline for appli	cations)	would t	he projec	t be under
Number of months2					
a.) Are preliminary plans or engineering completed?	YesX	No		N/A _	·
b.) Are detailed construction plans completed?	Yes	No	X	N/A _	
c.) Are all utility coordination's completed?	Yes	No	_ <u>X</u>	N/A _	
d.) Are all right-of-way and easements acquired (if applicab	le)? Yes	No		N/A _	_X

If no, how many parcels needed for project? Of these, how many	are: Takes	·
	Tempora	ту
	Permane	ent
For any parcels not yet acquired, explain the status of the ROW acquisition pro	ocess for this	project.
e.) Give an estimate of time needed to complete any item above not yet completed.	4	Months.
11) Does the infrastructure have regional impact?		
Give a brief statement concerning the regional significance of the infrastructure to be reported the project will primarily affect the residents of Columbia Townsh		-
12) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?		
The District 2 Integrating Committee predetermines the jurisdiction's economic he jurisdiction may periodically be adjusted when census and other budgetary data are updated when census and other budgetary data are updated by the production of the		economic health of a
13) Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure?	d in a partia	I or complete ban of
Describe what formal action has been taken which resulted in a ban of the use involved infrastructure? Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, on issuance of building permits, etc. The ban must have been caused by a structure considered valid. Submission of a copy of the approved legislation would be helpful.  No ban	, and moratoral areas are areas are areas are areas are areas are areas are areas areas areas areas areas areas	riums or limitations
Will the ban be removed after the project is completed? Yes No.  14) What is the total number of existing daily users that will be proposed project?		N/AXa result of the
For roads and bridges, multiply current Average Daily Traffic (ADT) by I transit, submit documentation substantiating the count. Where the facility or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to the restriction sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of hous 4. User information must be documented and certified by a professional of C.E.O.	currently have for storm seholds in the	as any restrictions n sewers, sanitary he service area by
Traffic: ADT $1000 \text{ X } 1.20 = 1200 \text{ Users}$		
Water/Sewer: Homes X 4.00 = Users		

15)	Has the jur	isdiction e	enacted the	optional \$	5 license	plate fee	, an infi	rastructure	levy, a
	user fee, or	dedicated	tax for the	pertinent ir	ıfrastruci	ture?			

The applying jurisdiction shall list what type of fees, levies or taxes they have dedicated toward the type of infrastructure being applied for. (Check all that apply)

Optional \$5.00 License Tax yes	
Infrastructure Levy <u>yes</u> Specify type	Roadway Levy
Facility Users Fee Specify type	and the second s
Dedicated Tax Specify type	
Other Fee, Levy or Tax Specify type	

# SCIP/LTIP PROGRAM ROUND 20 - PROGRAM YEAR 2006 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA

JULY 1, 2006 TO JUNE 30, 2007

NAME OF APPLICANT: COLUMBIA TOLUMSHIP	
NAME OF PROJECT: <u>RIDGE WOOD SUBDIVISION STREETS PHASE JIL</u>	
RATING TEAM: 5	-

## General Statement for Rating Criteria

Points awarded for all items will be based on engineering experience, field verification, application information and other information supplied by the applicant, which is deemed to be relevant by the Support Staff. The examples listed in this addendum are not a complete list, but only a small sampling of situations that may be relevant to a given project.

#### CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE RATING

What is the physical condition of the existing infrastructure that is to be replaced or repaired? 1)

(25) Failed 23 - Critical

20 - Very Poor

17 - Poor

15 - Moderately Poor

10 - Moderately Fair

5 - Fair Condition

0 - Good or Better

.. astruc. SEE GEOTECH PATONEN'S RECOMMENDATIONS. RECOMMENDEN'S

Appeal Score

#### Criterion 1 - Condition

Condition of the particular infrastructure to be repaired, reconstructed or replaced shall be a measure of the degree of reduction in condition from its original state. Capacity, serviceability, safety and health shall not be considered in this criterion. Any documentation the Applicant wishes to be considered must be included in the application package.

#### Definitions:

Failed Condition -requires complete reconstruction where no part of the existing facility is salvageable. (E.g. Roads: complete reconstruction of roadway, curbs and base; Bridges: complete removal and replacement of bridge; Underground: removal and replacement of an underground drainage or water system.

Critical Condition - requires partial reconstruction to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: reconstruction of roadway/curbs can be saved; Bridges: removal and replacement of bridge with abutment modification; Underground: removal and replacement of part of an underground drainage or water system.

Very Poor Condition - requires extensive rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: extensive full depth, partial depth and curb repair of a roadway with a structural overlay; Bridges: superstructure replacement; Underground: repair of joints and/or replacement of pipe sections.

Paor Condition - requires standard rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: moderate full depth, partial depth and curb repair to a roadway with no structural overlay needed or structural overlay with minor repairs to a roadway needed; Bridges: extensive patching of substructure and replacement of deck; Underground: insituform or other in ground repairs.

Moderately Poor Condition - requires minor rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: minor full depth, partial depth or curb repairs to a roadway with either a thin overlay or no overlay needed; Bridges: major structural patching and/or major deck repair.

Moderately Fair Condition - requires extensive maintenance to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: thin or no overlay with extensive crack sealing, minor partial depth and/or slurry or rejuvenation; Bridges: minor structural patching, deck repair, erosion control.)

Fair Condition - requires routine maintenance to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: slurry seal, rejuvenation or routine crack sealing to the roadway; Bridges: minor structural patching.)

Good or Better Condition - little to no maintenance required to maintain integrity.

Note: If the infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it will NOT be considered for SCIP/LTIP funding unless it is an expansion project that will improve serviceability.

	25 - Highly significant importance 20 - Considerably significant importance 15 - Moderate importance 10 - Minimal importance	No Breighter 22 Hay 3 W	Appeal Score		
	5 - Poorly documented importance 0 - No measurable impact	η°			
	Criterion 2 - Safety The jurisdiction shall include in its application the the intended project would improve the situation cited? Have they involved injuries or fatalities? water lines, is the present capacity inadequate to documentation is required. Mentioned problems	i. For example, have there been very line the case of water systems, are exprovide volumes or pressure for a set, which are poorly documented, share in the case of the cas	ehicular accidents attributable to the problems xisting hydrants non-functional? In the case of dequate fire protection? In all cases, specificall not receive more than 5 points.		
	Note: Each project is looked at on an individual are NOT intended to be exclusive.	l basis to determine if any aspects	of this category apply. Examples given above		
3)	How important is the project to the <u>health</u> of the	Public and the citizens of the Dis	trict and/or service area?		
	25 - Highly significant importance 20 - Considerably significant importance 15 - Moderate importance 10 - Minimal importance 5 - Poorly documented importance	No Reiner Storm	Appeal Score		
	No measurable impact	34216			
	Criterion 3 – Health  The jurisdiction shall include in its application the reduced by the intended project. For example, can satisfactory? If basement flooding has occurred, we case of underground improvements, how will they improve health or reduce health risk? In all cases, documented, shall not receive more than 5 points.	the problem be eliminated only by as it storm water or sanitary flow? improve health if they are storm so	the project, or would routine maintenance be What complaints if any are recorded? In the ewers? How would improved sanitary sewers		
	Note: Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this category apply. Examples given above are NOT intended to be exclusive.				
4)	Does the project help meet the infrastructure repa Note: Jurisdiction's priority listing (part of the Addition	air and replacement needs of the a	applying jurisdiction? ed with application(s).		
	25) First priority project 20 - Second priority project		Appeal Score		
	<ul><li>15 -Third priority project</li><li>10 - Fourth priority project</li><li>5 - Fifth priority project or lower</li></ul>				
	Criterion 4 – Jurisdiction's Priority Listing The jurisdiction <u>must</u> submit a listing in priority orde most to least importance. The form is included in the	er of the projects for which it is app Additional Support Information.	lying. Points will be awarded on the basis of		

-2-

How important is the project to the <u>safety</u> of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?

2)

To what extent will a user fee funded agency be 10-Less than 10%	participating in the funding of the projec
9 – 10% to 19.99%	
8 – 20% to 29.99%	Appeal Sco
7 – 30% to 39.99%	11ppcar 5co
6 – 40% to 49.99%	
5 – 50% to 59.99%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4 – 60% to 69.99%	
3 – 70% to 79.99%	
2 – 80% to 89.99%	
1 – 90% to 95%	
0 – Above 95%	

#### Criterion 5 - User Fee-funded Agency Participation

To what extent will a user fee funded agency be participating in the funding of the project? (Example: rates for water or sewer, frontage assessments, etc.). The applying jurisdiction must submit documentation.

6) Economic Growth - How the completed project will enhance economic growth (See definitions).

10 – The project will <u>directly</u> secure new employment	Appeal Score
5 – The project will permit more development	11
(0) The project will not impact development	
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

#### Criterion 6 - Economic Growth

Will the completed project enhance economic growth and/or development in the service area?

#### Definitions:

Secure new employment: The project as designed will secure development/employers, which will immediately add new permanent employees to the jurisdiction. The applying agency must submit details.

Permit more development: The project as designed will permit additional business development/employment. The applicant must supply details.

The project will not impact development: The project will have no impact on business development.

Note: Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this category apply.

#### 7) Matching Funds - LOCAL

- 10 This project is a loan or credit enhancement
- 10) 50% or higher
  - 8 40% to 49.99%

List total percentage of "Local" funds 50 %

- 6-30% to 39.99%
- 4 20% to 29.99%
- 2 10% to 19.99%
- 0 Less than 10%

#### Criterion 7 - Matching Funds - Local

The percentage of matching funds which come directly from the budget of the applying agency. Ten points shall be awarded if a loan request is at least 50% of the total project cost. (If the applying agency is not a user fee funded agency, any funds to be provided by a user fee generating agency will be considered "Matching Funds – Other")

ı	Matching Funds - OTHER	List total percentage of "Other" funds%
	10 – 50% or higher	List below each funding source and percentage
	8 – 40% to 49.99% 6 – 30% to 39.99%	%
	4 – 20% to 29.99%	% %
	2 – 10% to 19.99%	
	1 – 1% to 9.99% (0)– Less than 1%	

#### Criterion 8 - Matching Funds - Other

The percentage of matching funds that come from funding sources other than those mentioned in Criterion 7. A letter from the outside funding agency stating their financial participation in the project and the amount of funding is required to receive points. For MRF, a copy of the current application form filed with the Hamilton County Engineer's Office meets the requirement.

Appeal Score

Will the project alleviate serious capacity problems or hazards or respond to the future level of service needs of the district? (See Addendum for definitions)

10	- Projec	t design	is	for	future	demand.
----	----------	----------	----	-----	--------	---------

8 - Project design is for partial future demand.

6 - Project design is for current demand.

4 - Project design is for minimal increase in capacity.

Project design is for no increase in capacity.

#### Criterion 9 - Alleviate Capacity Problems

The jurisdiction shall provide a narrative, along with pertinent support documentation, which describe the existing deficiencies and showing how congestion will be reduced or eliminated and how service will be improved to meet the needs of any expected growth or development. A formal capacity analysis accompanying the application would be beneficial. Projected traffic or demand should be calculated as follows:

#### Formula:

Existing users x design year factor = projected users

<u>Design Year</u>	<u>Design year</u>	Design year factor			
	<u>Urban</u>	Suburban	Rural		
20	1.40	1.70	1.60		
10	1.20	1.35	1.30		

#### Definitions:

<u>Future demand</u> – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service for twenty-year projected demand or fully developed area conditions. Justification must be supplied if the area is already largely developed or undevelopable and thus the projection factors used deviate from the above table.

<u>Partial future demand</u> – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service for ten-year projected demand or partially developed area conditions. Justification must be supplied if the area is already largely developed or undevelopable and thus the projection factors used deviate from the above table.

<u>Current demand</u> – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service only for existing demand and conditions.

Minimal increase – Project will reduce but not eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide a minimal but less than sufficient increase in existing capacity or service for existing demand and conditions.

No increase - Project will have no effect on existing congestion or deficiencies and provide no increase in capacity or service for existing demand and conditions.

- Readiness to Proceed If SCIP/LTIP funds are granted, when would the construction contract be awarded? (See Addendum concerning delinquent projects and readiness to proceed)
  - 5) Will be under contract by December 31, 2006 and no delinquent projects in Rounds 17 & 18 3 Will be under contract by March 31, 2007 and/or one delinquent project in Rounds 17 & 18
  - 0 Will not be under contract by March 31, 2007 and/or more than one delinquent project in Rounds 17 & 18

#### Criterion 10 - Readiness to Proceed

The Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience and status of design plans. A project is considered delinquent when it has not received a notice to proceed within the time stated on the original application and no time extension has been granted by the OPWC. A jurisdiction receiving approval for a project and subsequently canceling the same after the bid date on the application will receive zero (0) points under this round and the following round, unless a variance is approved by the Integrating Committee.

Appeal Score

Does the infrastructure have regional impact? Consider origination and destination of traffic, functional classifications, size of service area, and number of jurisdictions served, etc. (See Addendum for definitions)

10 - Major Impact

8 - Significant Impact

- 6 Moderate Impact
- 4 Minor Impact
- (2)- Minimal or No Impact

#### Criterion 11 - Regional Impact

The regional significance of the infrastructure that is being repaired or replaced.

#### **Definitions:**

Major Impact – Roads: Major Arterial: A direct connector to an Interstate Highway; Arterials are intended to provide a greater degree of mobility rather than land access. Arterials generally convey large traffic volumes for distances greater than one mile. A major arterial is a highway that is of regional importance and is intended to serve beyond the county. It may connect urban centers with one another and/or with outlying communities and employment or shopping centers. A major arterial is intended primarily to serve through traffic.

Significant Impact – Roads: Minor Arterial: A roadway, also serving through traffic, that is similar in function to a major arterial, but operates with lower traffic volumes, serves trips of shorter distances (but still greater than one mile), and may provide a higher degree of property access than do major arterials.

Moderate Impact – Roads: Major Collector: A roadway that provides for traffic movement between local roads/streets and arterials or community-wide activity centers and carries moderate traffic volumes over moderate distances (generally less than one mile). Major collectors may also provide direct access to abutting properties, such as regional shopping centers, large industrial parks, major subdivisions and community-wide recreational facilities, but typically not individual residences. Most major collectors are also county roads and are therefore through streets.

Minor Impact – Roads: Minor Collector: A roadway similar in functions to a major collector but which carries lower traffic volumes over shorter distances and has a higher degree of property access. Minor collectors may serve as main circulation streets within large, residential neighborhoods. Most minor collectors are also township roads and streets and may, or may not, be through streets.

Minimal or No Impact - Roads: Local: A roadway that is primarily intended to provide access to abutting properties. It tends to accommodate lower traffic volumes, serves short trips (generally within neighborhoods), and provides connections preferably only to collector streets rather than arterials.

12)	What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?				
,	10 Points 8 Points 6 Points 4 Points 2 Points				
	Criterion 12 - Economic Health  The District 2 Integrating Committee predetermines the jurisdiction's economic health. The econoperiodically be adjusted when census and other budgetary data are updated.	omic health of a jurisdiction may			
13)	Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a partial or complete ban of the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure?				
	10 - Complete ban, facility closed 8 - 80% reduction in legal load or 4-wheeled vehicles only 7 - Moratorium on future development, not functioning for current demand 6 - 60% reduction in legal load 5 - Moratorium on future development, functioning for current demand 4 - 40% reduction in legal load	Appeal Score			
	2-20% reduction in legal load  O Less than 20% reduction in legal load				
	Criterion 13 - Ban  The jurisdiction shall provide documentation to show that a facility ban or moratorium has been functional must have been caused by a structural or operational problem. Points will only be averaged will cause the ban to be lifted.				
14)	What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed p	roject?			
	10 - 16,000 or more 8 - 12,000 to 15,999 6 - 8,000 to 11,999 4 - 4,000 to 7,999	Appeal Score			
	2 3,999 and under  Criterion 14 - Users  The applying jurisdiction shall provide documentation. A registered professional engineer or the certify the appropriate documentation. Documentation may include current traffic counts, house measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for the roads and bridges figures are provided.	holds served, when converted to a			
15)	Has the jurisdiction enacted the optional S5 license plate fee, an infrastructure levy, a user fee pertinent infrastructure? (Provide documentation of which fees have been enacted.)	e, or dedicated tax for the			
	5 Two or more of the above 3 - One of the above 0 - None of the above	Appeal Score			
The ap	ion 15 – Fees, Levies, Etc.  plying jurisdiction shall document (in the "Additional Support Information" form) which type ed toward the type of infrastructure being applied for.	of fees, levies or taxes they have			